

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,669	12/14/2001	Gordon N. McGrew	112703-213 2933	
29156	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC P. O. BOX 1135			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
	L 60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1761	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	
٨,	

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/024,669	MCGREW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apports of the second for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	n from consideration.	··				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 10/024,669

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 7-12, 15-18, 20, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-39 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hill (columns 8, 10, 12, 13, 15-17 and 20).
 Applicant is referred to paragraph No. 4, Paper No. 041105.
- 4. Claims 6, 19, 31 and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill in view of D'Amelia et al.

Applicant is referred to paragraph No. 5, Paper No. 041105.

5. Applicant's arguments filed July 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's contention, that Hill teaches away from using active ingredients, such as metal salts, in gum centers, is without merit. What Hill actually discloses in column 8, lines 63-68 is that the release of active ingredients from a gum base is a problem. However, Hill does not mention liquid centers in chewing gums in referring to this problem. In fact, Hill goes so far as to state that the "emulsions of the

Application/Control Number: 10/024,669

Art Unit: 1761

present invention" can be included in "liquid center chewing gums" (column 8, lines 38-40).

The metal salts in Hill inherently provide breath-freshening characteristics since they control gingivitis and plaque and are the same metal salts used by applicant.

D'Amelia et al not only discloses the use of breath freshening metal salts in lozenges and tablets, as applicant recognizes, but also in chewing gum as well (column 1, lines 35-43). Thus, D'Amelia et al is clearly analogous to and properly combinable with Hill.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/024,669 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh August 17, 2005

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

8-11-05